IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

SRI OF N.M. LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HARTFORD FIRE INS. CO. and

No. 14-cv-0781 GBW/SMV

Defendants.

TURNER ATL. CONSTR. LLC,

INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

This case is assigned to me for scheduling, case management, discovery, and all non-dispositive motions. Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, as well as the Local Rules of the Court apply to this lawsuit. Civility and professionalism will be required of counsel. Counsel shall read and comply with "A Lawyer's Creed of Professionalism of the State Bar of New Mexico."

The parties, appearing through counsel or pro se, will "meet and confer" no later than **December 19, 2014**, to formulate a Provisional Discovery Plan. Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f). The time allowed for discovery is generally 150 to 180 days and will run from the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference. Initial disclosures under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be made within twenty-one days of the meet-and-confer session.

The parties will cooperate in preparing a Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan ("JSR"), following the sample JSR available at the Court's web site. The blanks for suggested/proposed dates are to be filled in by the parties. Actual case management deadlines

will be determined by the Court after consideration of the parties' requests. Plaintiff (or

Defendant in removed cases) is responsible for filing the JSR by **January 2, 2015**.

Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be

shown and the Court's express and written approval obtained for any modification of the dates in

the scheduling order that issues from the JSR.

A Rule 16 initial scheduling conference will be held by telephone on January 22, 2015,

at 9:30 a.m. To connect to the proceedings, the parties shall call Judge Vidmar's

Teleconference Line at (215) 446-3656, using code 4382538. Counsel shall be prepared to

discuss the following: discovery needs and scheduling, all claims and defenses, the use of

scientific evidence and whether a Daubert hearing is needed, see Daubert v. Merrell Dow

Pharm., Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 590-92 (1993), initial disclosures, and the timing of expert

disclosures and reports under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). We will also discuss settlement prospects,

alternative dispute resolution possibilities, and consideration of consent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

636(c). Client attendance is not required.

Pre-trial practice in this case shall be in accordance with the foregoing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the deadlines shall be as follows:

Meet and Confer by:

December 19, 2014

JSR filed by:

January 2, 2015

knowledge and may not testify beyond their treatment of a patient.").

² In preparing the JSR, counsel should be familiar with the Rule 26 requirements concerning disclosure of expert testimony for witnesses who do not provide a written report. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C). Summary disclosures are, under certain circumstances, required of treating physicians. *Farris v. Intel Corp.*, 493 F. Supp. 2d 1174, 1180 (D.N.M. 2007) (Treating physicians who do not submit Rule 26 expert reports may only testify "based on . . . personal knowledge and observations obtained during [the] course of care and treatment[.]"); *Blodgett*, 2008 WL 1944011, at *5 ("[T]reating physicians not disclosed as experts are limited to testimony based on personal

Initial Disclosures due within 21 days of the meet-and-confer session, but in no event later than:

January 9, 2015

Telephonic Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference: January 22, 2015, at 9:30 a.m.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR United States Magistrate Judge